

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 31


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte HIRONOBU KITAJIMA and SHUNSUKE FUEKI

Appeal No. 2003-0285
Application No. 08/814,409

ON BRIEF



Before THOMAS, HAIRSTON, and GROSS, Administrative Patent Judges.
HAIRSTON, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION ON APPEAL

This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims
1 through 4, 6 through 13 and 15 through 31.

The disclosed invention relates to a method and apparatus
for changing the circuit structure of a programmable logic device
in an encrypting/decrypting apparatus.

Claims 1 and 23 are illustrative of the claimed invention,
and they read as follows:

1. An encrypting apparatus connectable via a communication network to a remote computer disposed at a remote place, comprising:

a circuit unit, having at least one programmable logic device, to form an encrypting circuit with the programmable logic device corresponding to given encrypting specifications;

a network connecting unit to connect said encrypting apparatus to the communication network;

a mapping data generating unit to read change data for changing at least one of the encrypting specifications in accordance with predetermined criteria received from the remote computer via the communication network, and to generate a mapping data object representing the structure of the encrypting circuit;

a changing unit, coupled to said circuit unit and said change data generating unit, to change automatically a structure of the encrypting circuit corresponding to the mapping data object by changing a circuit structure of the programmable logic device without removal from said encrypting apparatus; and

an enclosure substantially surrounding said circuit unit, said network connecting unit, said mapping data generating unit and said changing unit.

23. An encrypting method, comprising:

forming an encrypting circuit corresponding to given encrypting specifications with at least one programmable logic device;

Appeal No. 2003-0285
Application No. 08/814,409

reading change data from a remote computer via a communication network, for changing the encrypting specifications; and

automatically generating change data for changing the encrypting specification; and

automatically changing a circuit structure of the at least one programmable logic device corresponding to the change data without removal of the at least one programmable logic device from the encrypting circuit.

The references relied on by the examiner are:

Dabbish	4,972,478	Nov. 20, 1990
Lynn et al. (Lynn)	5,345,508	Sep. 6, 1994
Knapp et al. (Knapp)	5,499,192	Mar. 12, 1996

Microsoft Press® Computer Dictionary, pp. 337-38 (Microsoft Corp., 3rd Ed., 1997).

Claims 23 through 31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Dabbish.

Claims 1 through 4, 6 through 8, 10 through 13, 15 through 17 and 19 through 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabbish in view of Knapp and the Microsoft Computer Dictionary.

Claims 9 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabbish in view of Knapp and Lynn.

Appeal No. 2003-0285
Application No. 08/814,409

Reference is made to the briefs (paper numbers 23 and 25) and the answer (paper number 24) for the respective positions of the appellants and the examiner.

OPINION

We have carefully considered the entire record before us, and we will reverse the anticipation rejection of claims 23 through 31, and the obviousness rejection of claims 1 through 4, 6 through 13 and 15 through 22.

We agree with the examiner's findings (answer, pages 4 and 5) that Dabbish automatically changes the structure of the reprogram elements 100 and 101 via a new cipher algorithm. On the other hand, we agree with the appellants' argument (brief, page 4; reply brief, pages 2 and 3) that Dabbish changes the crypto core elements 100 and 101 by programming changes rather than by circuit changes as required by all of the claims on appeal. We do not agree with the examiner's finding (answer, page 9) that "[c]hanging circuit connections is anticipated by PALs, which are included in Dabbish's crypto cores" because Dabbish, as well as appellants' disclosed invention, clearly states that circuit changes and program changes are distinct techniques for changing encrypting/decrypting apparatus. To be more specific, appellants disclose the shortcomings in software

Appeal No. 2003-0285
Application No. 08/814,409

changes to encrypting/decrypting apparatus (specification, pages 5 and 6), and indicate a preference for hardware circuit changes to the encrypting/decrypting apparatus (specification, pages 11 through 13, 15, 21 and 23). Dabbish discloses an opposite approach by stating a preference for software changes to encrypting/decrypting apparatus to avoid the shortcomings in encrypting/decrypting apparatus fixed in hardware (column 1, lines 12 through 14; column 2, lines 15 through 63; column 3, line 41 through column 4, line 9).

Based upon the foregoing, the anticipation rejection of claims 23 through 31 is reversed because Dabbish's encrypting/decrypting circuits are fixed (column 1, lines 12 through 14), and can not be changed by change data from a changing unit. The obviousness rejections of claims 1 through 4, 6 through 13 and 15 through 22 are reversed because the teachings of Knapp, the Microsoft Computer Dictionary and Lynn do not remedy the shortcomings in the teachings of Dabbish.

Appeal No. 2003-0285
Application No. 08/814,409

DECISION

The decision of the examiner rejecting claims 23 through 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is reversed, and the decision of the examiner rejecting claims 1 through 4, 6 through 13 and 15 through 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is reversed.

REVERSED

JAMES D. THOMAS
Administrative Patent Judge

KENNETH W. HAIRSTON
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT
APPEALS
AND
INTERFERENCES

ANITA PELLMAN GROSS
Administrative Patent Judge

KWH/hh

Appeal No. 2003-0285
Application No. 08/814,409

STAAS & HALSEY, L.L.P.
700 ELEVENTH ST., N.W.
STE. 500
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001